

Office of Undergraduate Courses, Curricula, and Academic Standards oucc.dasa.ncsu.edu courses-curricula@ncsu.edu University College – Division of Academic and Student Affairs

Campus Box 7105 211A Park Shops Raleigh, NC 27695-7105 P: 919.515.9769

Council on Undergraduate Education 2024-2025

November 22, 2024 **Meeting hosted via Zoom** 1:30pm-3:00pm

Members Present:		
Marta Klesath (Chair)	✓ Marc Russo	✓ Joanna Stegall
Erin McKenney (Past	Steven Miller	✓ Alison Edwards
Chair)	✓ Nancy Moore	☐ Mary Schweitzer
Logan Opperman (Chair Elect)	✓ Trung Ly	✓ Khodr Zaarour
✓ Jeffrey Dorfman	✓ Lara Pacifici	☐ STUSEN
Lynda Nyota	✓ Wendy Krause ✓ Autumn Mist Belk (Fall '24	
Anna Maria Behler	proxy)	

Members Absent: Helen Chen, Logan Opperman, Mary Schweitzer

Guests: Christa Gala, Kyung Hee Ha

Ex-Officio Members Present: Li Marcus, Lexi Hergeth, Annabel Breen, Kaitlyn Mittan, Erin Dixon, Tamah Morant, Julia Law, Latasha Wade, Levent Atici

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

- Remarks from Chair Marta Klesath Marta welcomed the committee and thanked everyone for attending. The Chair welcomed guests, and had guests introduce themselves.
- Remarks and Updates from OUCCAS/DASA Li reminded the committee that this is the final meeting of the semester. Li
 thanked the committee for their contributions to the FAD process.
- Approval of November 8, 2024 CUE Minutes- Approved
 Discussion: No comments or feedback were shared.

NEW BUSINESS

Courses New to GEP

ENG 215 / COM 215 : The Struggle of the Free Press: Fake News & Conspiracy Theories (IP, GK) – Approved with Suggestion

Discussion: This new course was presented by Behler. The instructor noted that this was a short course taught via the NC State Prague Institute. She thanked those who supported and contributed to the course. Reviewer commented that under IP Objective 2, "identify and apply" is used and suggested that perhaps it could be changed to "analyze". Another reviewer complimented the course with no further discussion. A third reviewer asked about a missing fourth objective; the instructor explained that, during course creation, it was determined that a fourth objective was not needed. The same reviewer noted that, under GK Objective 3, the guideline for DOK is to "explain how these distinguishing characteristics relate", so students are being asked to do a lot more by "examining" rather than "explaining". Overall, the course was praised. One member asked how it is possible to stay politically neutral during discussions of the content of this course; the instructor replied with the distinguishing of facts (easier to verify) versus truth (moral flexibility). Member noted that the course is "gusty" and that some of the messages the instructor is sharing are unable to be fully politically neutral; the instructor responded by saying that balance and verification of facts is a key method of maintaining a goal of overall neutrality.

- <u>PS 208 : Gateway to Philosophy, Politics, and Economics (PPE) (HUM, SS)</u> <u>Approved with Suggestion</u>
 Discussion: This new course was presented by Behler. Reviewer noted a word missing in the measure for SS
 Objective 2 (the word should be "to choose"); suggestion to include this verb. No further discussion was shared.
- WL 357: Gender and Sexuality in Japan (HUM, GK) Approved
 Discussion: This new course was presented by Nyota. Reviewer noted that the outcomes are well matched to the objectives along with the measures. Another reviewer concurred, adding that the examples listed were helpful. Instructor noted that the prefix is WL 357, without the JA in the prefix. No further discussion was shared.

Discussion: Policy 400.1.5 update

Chair Marta Klesath announced that feedback has been received. Li shared some information from the last working group meeting. Members suggested that the wording could be modified slightly to adjust the expectations of the reading of the required texts. Some clerical edits suggested by committee members. One member commented that when courses are reviewed, it would not be conducive to review a course that only has, for example, the Constitution. Another member noted that all of the required texts are in the objectives, so the outcomes, in theory, should speak to those objectives and subsequently satisfy the requirements. Another member concurred with the comment "The outcomes and measures are examples, not everything. I think if the required texts are in the outcomes and the syllabus, then it's fine". Chair and members discussed the terminology pertaining to founding documents" and "texts that reflect the breadth of American experience". Members suggested to specify what these documents are and to have the documents/texts listed out. Li and members worked together to edit and modify specific wording and CIM implementation text for clarity and concision. Due to time constraints, members made a motion and voted to approve the changes and updates by voting electronically.

Meeting adjourned at 3:03 PM

Respectfully submitted by Annabel Breen