

**Committee on International Programs
Talley Student Union, Room 5101 1:00-2:30pm**

Members Present: David Aspnes, Marina Bykova, Maria Correa (Chair), Michael Garval, Michael Hyman, Fikret Isik, Martin King, Bailian Li, Lingjuan Li, Lian Lynch, Ashle Page, Ingrid Schmidt.

Members Absent: Ali Almalki, Michael Bustle, Linyou Cao, Anna Dunaway, Michael Evans, Elizabeth James, Trevor Little, John McCreery, Pallavi Singh, Megan Winzeler.

I. OIA Updates and Announcements (Bailian Li)

1. Thank you all for your contributions to the CIP committee. We will continue to focus on three priorities: internationalizing the tenure code, college inventory, PRR- policy and procedure for student activity abroad. IOC is working on strategic partners from an operational perspective, international employment and operations, and international research.
2. The call for nominations for the outstanding global engagement award is ongoing. Please encourage your department heads to make nominations of the two best candidates in order to have a balanced pool for review. Please mark your calendars for April 18th - the Global Engagement Expo where winners will be announced and all nominees are recognized. We want to encourage all faculty to be engaged globally. Please refer to the newsletter and calendar for other events.
3. The recent Executive Order prohibiting immigration will impact 160 of our students immediately, particularly those from Iran. There could be a decrease in admission from students unable to come to the United States. Countries named include Iraq, Iran, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, and Yemen.

II. Internationalization Seed Grant Review & Discussion (Martin King)

1. I would like to recognize the other members of the subcommittee that have looked at seed grant proposals: Elizabeth James, Ingrid Schmidt, Linyou Cao, and Megan Winzeler. We received 9 proposals in December. Ten members of the CIP committee each evaluated four or five of these proposals and provided their comments and reviews. There were four criteria: 40 possible points for Significance, 25 points for Feasibility 25 points for Sustainability and 10 for Finances/Budget. We arranged to receive 5 reviews for each proposal, and distributed proposals so that no one was evaluating work from their own college.
2. The reviews we received consisted of a wide variety of ratings among reviewers for the same proposals. Statistician John Stallings agreed to observe the scale of each reviewer, since some of us are more harsh reviewers and others are more lenient, and weight each rating accordingly. He was not given the names of proposals or reviewer comments.
3. After a discussion of all proposals and the statistical analysis, the committee voted to fund the following: Brookins, Li, Osburn, Spires, and Zhang.
4. Suggestions for the review process next year:
 - Seeing all of the proposals makes it easier to see a distinction and give fair ratings.
 - We could also do primary and secondary reviewers.

- Review and rank all the proposals.

III. Internationalizing the Tenure Code Update (Maria Correa)

1. Working with faculty senate to vocalize our views and write a letter to the provost. All of this will be turned into a recommendation, requesting that colleges include international work in their faculty reviews. This is just a recommendation and will not be in the university codes. We have faculty senators in each college.
2. At the end of this semester, we will draft an email to each Department Head, and hopefully Department Heads and Deans will pass it on to their committee.

Meeting Adjourned at 2:35pm