

Evaluation of Teaching Committee Agenda

8/28/15

- I. Introductions
- II. Review of suggestions for 2015-16 EOT Committee
 - a. Additional suggestions for consideration?
- III. Reminder to share Class Eval FAQ with peers
- IV. Schedule discussion for Spring semester

Suggestions for 2015-2016 EOT Committee

- The peer review template that was created needs to be vetted with the NCSU community. It needs to be put on the OFD website and shared with department heads, Deans, and Associate Deans.
- EOT should manage all the suggestions and make sure they are followed through.
- FAQ on Classeval should be sent out to the committee, deans, department heads, etc. to get it circulated with the faculty.
- EOT Committee should look at question 12 again as three different student groups has sent inquiries about changing the liker scales. Eventhough EOT has addressed this several times.
 - Question 12: *Overall, this course was excellent.* One perception of the question the answer should be yes the course excellent or no it was not. The Likert scale of Strongly Agree, Agree, Neither Agree or Disagree, etc. to some doesn't make sense. However, in practice students think about the course being Excellent, Very Good, Good, Poor, Very Poor. This questions is a remnant of the old paper system where it was difficult to change the scale. Changing the Likert scale is tricky as Good should not be in the middle and it needs to remain a 5 point scale as this will cause issues.
- The EOT committee over the past few years addressed the timing and number of peer reviews for tenure track faculty. Similar guidelines for non-tenure track (NTT) faculty should be discussed and policies developed.